

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,796	06/24/2003	James G. Horian	JHORIAN.001A	1508
20995	7590 11/28/2006		EXAMINER	
	IARTENS OLSON & BEA	SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A		
2040 MAIN S FOURTEEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA		3653		
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Off: A 1' O	10/602,796	HORIAN, JAMES G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2006.	·				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.	·				
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-15 and 19</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-15 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
·		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
<del>-</del>	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of format to 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:					

Art Unit: 3653

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of Claims 6-15 and 9 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Dobbins. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aschenbeck (US 4,693,357) in view of Pepiciello, further in view of Wenner (US 4,591,042), and still further in view of Dobbins (US 6,929,110 B2).

Aschenbeck discloses a coin drop mechanism for operating a newspaper vending machine, said mechanism having a coin channel (24 or 26), an actuator (28) or (130 and 30) that protrude into said channels through an aperture, the final coin deposited in the chute blocking said aperture to complete a purchase. See also figures 3-7.

See, for example, figure 7 which illustrates actuator (28) blocked by a top-most coin in the coin chute.

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding the pivoting of the channels, note slidable members (146) with pivots (148 and 150) and spring (154) in figures 3 and 4. Note figure 4 that illustrates the pivoting.

Regarding an actuator depressed by the user, note that it is inherent that an actuator or the equivalent, either depressed by the user or automatically actuated would cause a mechanism to move to engage said coin blocking the actuator opening/aperture, since the system and mechanism would work substantially similar to Applicant's device.

Regarding said product support member and the flag member, Aschenbeck's device necessarily operates a product support member to dispense items. Note also that the opening of a lock device by addition of the final coin can be argued to be substantially the same as Applicants' product support since it acts to dispense a purchased product.

Aschenbeck does not expressly disclose, but **Pepiciello** discloses an actuator (32) that releases a product in the form of a newspaper when the proper change is inserted.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used Pepiciello's actuator mechanism in Aschenbeck's newspaper dispenser, said actuator being actuated by Aschenbeck's coin mechanism upon the insertion of correct change.

Art Unit: 3653

The suggestion/motivation for using Pepiciello's teaching would have been to prevent the machine from being operational after the machine becomes empty. See Pepiciello, col. 1, lines 25-32.

Aschenbeck does not expressly disclose, but **Wenner** discloses a contoured coin channel, as shown in figure 1, for example.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a contoured coin channel instead of a linear coin channel.

The suggestion/motivation would have been to accommodate more coins in the same plane as the linear coin channel.

Note also that Aschenbeck discusses the need for flexibility to switch from lower priced goods to higher priced goods, ie., from the daily paper to the Sunday paper. Higher priced goods require more coins, therefore one ordinarily skilled in the art would find it obvious in light of Wenner's disclosure to use a contoured, winding coin path to store more coins than the Aschenbeck's linear coin paths could accommodate by themselves.

Aschenbeck does not expressly disclose, but **Dobbins** discloses a contoured coin channel, as shown in figures 11 and 12, which is **movably connected** to a support member by pins (612) so that coins can be cleared when tab (650) is depressed.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a **movably connected** contoured coin channel instead of a stationary coin channel. See Dobbins, col. 10, lines 30-65.

Art Unit: 3653

The suggestion/motivation would have been to allow coin jams to be cleared by a clearing device. See Dobbins, col. 10, lines 42-44.

Page 5

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JAS /

November 27, 2006

PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600